

05/01/2004

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2002/2003**

**Exhibit G**  
**Page 1 of 8**

	<b>PART I</b>					<b>PART II</b>					<b>PART III</b>	
	<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(1)]</b>					<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(2)]</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	<b>-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
<b>ALAMEDA COUNTY</b>												
ALAMEDA CITY CIC						83		83	12	5	12	5
EMERYVILLE RDA						145	8	153	23	9	23	9
FREMONT RDA						40		40	6	2	6	2
HAYWARD RDA						63		63	9	4	9	4
OAKLAND RDA						26		26	4	2	4	2
SAN LEANDRO RDA						18		18	3	1	3	1
County Totals:						<b>375</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>												
BRENTWOOD RDA						26		26	4	2	4	2
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY RDA	226		226	68	34	57		57	9	3	76	37
OAKLEY						6		6	1	0	1	0
PITTSBURG RDA						588		588	88	35	88	35
County Totals:	<b>226</b>		<b>226</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>677</b>		<b>677</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>FRESNO COUNTY</b>												
CLOVIS CDA	2		2	1	0						1	0
FRESNO CITY RDA												
County Totals:	<b>2</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>						<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>HUMBOLDT COUNTY</b>												
ARCATA CDA												
EUREKA RDA						10	8	18	3	1	3	1
County Totals:						<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>KERN COUNTY</b>												
BAKERSFIELD RDA	7		7	2	1	14	22	36	5	2	8	3

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2004

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2002/2003**

**Exhibit G**  
**Page 2 of 8**

	<b>PART I</b>					<b>PART II</b>					<b>PART III</b>	
	<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(1)]</b>					<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(2)]</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	<b>1. New</b>	<b>2. Sub.</b>	<b>3. Sum</b>	<b>4. Incl Ob</b>	<b>5. Very-Low</b>	<b>6. New</b>	<b>7. Sub.</b>	<b>8. Sum</b>	<b>9. Incl Ob.</b>	<b>10. VLow</b>	<b>11. Sum</b>	<b>12. VLow</b>
	<b>Units</b>	<b>Rehab</b>	<b>#1+#2</b>	<b>#3 x 30%</b>	<b>#4 x 50%</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Rehab.</b>	<b>#6+#7</b>	<b>#8 x 15%</b>	<b>#9x 40%</b>	<b>#4+#9*</b>	<b>#5+#10</b>
<b>RIDGECREST RDA</b>						28		28	4	2	4	2
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>7</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>												
<b>AZUSA RDA</b>						19		19	3	1	3	1
<b>BALDWIN PARK RDA</b>						36		36	5	2	5	2
<b>CERRITOS RDA</b>	105		105	32	16						32	16
<b>COMMERCE RDA</b>	26		26	8	4						8	4
<b>LA MIRADA RDA</b>						75		75	11	5	11	5
<b>LANCASTER RDA</b>	9		9	3	1	579	8	587	88	35	91	37
<b>LOS ANGELES CITY CRA</b>	95		95	29	14						29	14
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY CDC</b>						46		46	7	3	7	3
<b>PALMDALE CRA</b>							2	2	0	0	0	0
<b>PICO RIVERA RDA</b>		12	12	4	2						4	2
<b>POMONA RDA</b>						5	2	7	1	0	1	0
<b>SANTA CLARITA RDA</b>						14		14	2	1	2	1
<b>SANTA MONICA RDA</b>						198	18	216	32	13	32	13
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>972</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1,002</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>MARIN COUNTY</b>												
<b>NOVATO RDA</b>							128	128	19	8	19	8
<b>County Totals:</b>							<b>128</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>MERCED COUNTY</b>												
<b>MERCED CITY RDA</b>	4	2	6	2	1	1	2	3	0	0	2	1
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b>												

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2004

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2002/2003**

**Exhibit G**  
**Page 3 of 8**

	<b>PART I</b>					<b>PART II</b>					<b>PART III</b>	
	<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(1)]</b>					<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(2)]</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	<b>-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10.VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY CDA</b>						22		22	3	1	3	1
<b>MONTEREY RDA</b>						3		3	0	0	0	0
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>25</b>		<b>25</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b>												
<b>ANAHEIM RDA</b>	166	1	167	50	25	62		62	9	4	59	29
<b>BUENA PARK RDA</b>						32		32	5	2	5	2
<b>CYPRESS RDA</b>						36		36	5	2	5	2
<b>GARDEN GROVE CDA</b>	164	64	228	68	34						68	34
<b>HUNTINGTON BEACH RDA</b>						31		31	5	2	5	2
<b>ORANGE COUNTY RDA</b>						75		75	11	5	11	5
<b>SAN CLEMENTE RDA</b>						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO CRA</b>						84		84	13	5	13	5
<b>SANTA ANA CRA</b>						320		320	48	19	48	19
<b>STANTON RDA</b>						13		13	2	1	2	1
<b>WESTMINSTER RDA</b>	86		86	26	13	75		75	11	5	37	17
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>730</b>		<b>730</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>PLACER COUNTY</b>												
<b>PLACER COUNTY RDA</b>						16		16	2	1	2	1
<b>ROCKLIN RDA</b>						3		3	0	0	0	0
<b>ROSEVILLE RDA</b>							15	15	2	1	2	1
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b>												
<b>CATHEDRAL CITY RDA</b>						683		683	102	41	102	41
<b>COACHELLA RDA</b>						228		228	34	14	34	14

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2004

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2002/2003**

**Exhibit G**  
**Page 4 of 8**

	<b>PART I</b>					<b>PART II</b>					<b>PART III</b>	
	<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(1)]</b>					<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(2)]</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	<b>-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
<b>CORONA RDA</b>												
<b>DESERT HOT SPRINGS RDA</b>						259		259	39	16	39	16
<b>INDIAN WELLS RDA</b>						90		90	14	5	14	5
<b>NORCO RDA</b>	40		40	12	6	116		116	17	7	29	13
<b>PALM DESERT RDA</b>						589		589	88	35	88	35
<b>PALM SPRINGS RDA</b>	9		9	3	1						3	1
<b>RANCHO MIRAGE RDA</b>												
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY RDA</b>						282		282	42	17	42	17
<b>RIVERSIDE RDA</b>						169	28	197	30	12	30	12
<b>SAN JACINTO RDA</b>						48		48	7	3	7	3
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>49</b>		<b>49</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2,464</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>2,492</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</b>												
<b>SACRAMENTO CITY AND COUNTY RDA</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>												
<b>CHINO RDA</b>						25	2	27	4	2	4	2
<b>COLTON RDA</b>	17	1	18	5	3						5	3
<b>GRAND TERRACE RDA</b>						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>HIGHLAND RDA</b>						97		97	15	6	15	6
<b>ONTARIO RDA</b>						86		86	13	5	13	5
<b>SAN BERNARDINO RDA</b>						16	6	22	3	1	3	1
<b>VICTOR VALLEY EDA</b>						389		389	58	23	58	23
<b>VICTORVILLE RDA</b>						479		479	72	29	72	29

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2004

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2002/2003**

**Exhibit G**  
**Page 5 of 8**

	<b>PART I</b>					<b>PART II</b>					<b>PART III</b>	
	<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(1)]</b>					<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(2)]</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	<b>-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,094</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1,102</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>												
CHULA VISTA RDA						105		105	16	6	16	6
CORONADO CRA						26		26	4	2	4	2
EL CAJON RDA						1		1	0	0	0	0
ESCONDIDO CDC							43	43	6	3	6	3
LA MESA COMMUNITY RDA						18		18	3	1	3	1
SAN DIEGO CITY RDA						1,543	55	1,598	240	96	240	96
SAN DIEGO COUNTY RDA						15		15	2	1	2	1
SAN MARCOS RDA						971	190	1,161	174	70	174	70
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>2,679</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>2,967</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>San Francisco COUNTY</b>												
S.F. CITY & COUNTY RDA	107		107	32	16	250		250	38	15	70	31
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>107</b>		<b>107</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>250</b>		<b>250</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b>												
STOCKTON RDA							10	10	2	1	2	1
<b>County Totals:</b>							<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>												
ATASCADERO RDA						38		38	6	2	6	2
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>38</b>		<b>38</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>												
DALY CITY RDA						3		3	0	0	0	0
SAN BRUNO RDA						300		300	45	18	45	18
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO RDA	40		40	12	6	4		4	1	0	13	6
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>40</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>307</b>		<b>307</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>24</b>

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2004

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2002/2003**

**Exhibit G**  
**Page 6 of 8**

	<b>PART I</b>					<b>PART II</b>					<b>PART III</b>	
	<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(1)]</b>					<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(2)]</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	<b>-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>												
<b>LOMPOC RDA</b>						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>SANTA BARBARA RDA</b>	84		84	25	13	20		20	3	1	28	14
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>84</b>		<b>84</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>22</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>												
<b>CAMPBELL RDA</b>												
<b>MILPITAS RDA</b>						468		468	70	28	70	28
<b>MORGAN HILL RDA</b>	3		3	1	0	62		62	9	4	10	4
<b>SAN JOSE RDA</b>						485		485	73	29	73	29
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>3</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,015</b>		<b>1,015</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>												
<b>SANTA CRUZ CITY RDA</b>						107		107	16	6	16	6
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY RDA</b>						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>SCOTTS VALLEY RDA</b>						41		41	6	2	6	2
<b>WATSONVILLE RDA</b>	12		12	4	2	82		82	12	5	16	7
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>12</b>		<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>232</b>		<b>232</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>												
<b>REDDING RDA</b>		51	51	15	8	103		103	15	6	31	14
<b>SHASTA LAKE</b>						65	1	66	10	4	10	4
<b>County Totals:</b>		<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>												
<b>FAIRFIELD RDA</b>		8	8	2	1	5	1	6	1	0	3	2
<b>SUISUN CITY RDA</b>						63		63	9	4	9	4
<b>VACAVILLE RDA</b>						273		273	41	16	41	16
<b>County Totals:</b>		<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>22</b>

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2004

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2002/2003**

**Exhibit G**  
**Page 7 of 8**

	<b>PART I</b>					<b>PART II</b>					<b>PART III</b>	
	<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(1)]</b>					<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(2)]</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	<b>-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>												
<b>HEALDSBURG RDA</b>						11		11	2	1	2	1
<b>SONOMA CDA</b>						8		8	1	0	1	0
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>19</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>												
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY RDA</b>						13		13	2	1	2	1
<b>TURLOCK RDA</b>						44		44	7	3	7	3
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>57</b>		<b>57</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TULARE COUNTY</b>												
<b>TULARE RDA</b>						5		5	1	0	1	0
<b>VISALIA CRA</b>						4	8	12	2	1	2	1
<b>WOODLAKE RDA</b>						2	12	14	2	1	2	1
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>11</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>VENTURA COUNTY</b>												
<b>MOORPARK RDA</b>						32		32	5	2	5	2
<b>OXNARD RDA</b>						67		67	10	4	10	4
<b>PORT HUENEME RDA</b>						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>101</b>		<b>101</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2004

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2002/2003**

**Exhibit G**  
**Page 8 of 8**

	<b>PART I</b>					<b>PART II</b>					<b>PART III</b>	
	<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(1)]</b>					<b>[H&amp;SC Section 33413(b)(2)]</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	<b>-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----</b>					<b>-----TOTALS-----</b>	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
<b>Total Agencies Contributing to this Report: 107</b>	<b>1,202</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>1,341</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>11,649</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>12,218</b>	<b>1,833</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>2,235</b>	<b>934</b>

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.